United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



APPENDIX

76-1134

Docket No. 76-1134

service

THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Appellee-Plaintiff

-vs-

EDWARD CARLTON

Appellant-Defendant

CONSOLIDATED
INDEX FOR THE APPELLANT
EDWARD CARLTON

On appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of New York



DIPASQUALE, PACK, HAUSBECK, BALL & GREENMAN

Attorneys for Appellant HERBERT L. GREENMAN of counsel 510 Brisbane Building Buffalo, New York 14203 CILINGE OF THE COOK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 7	<u>#</u>	Page
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Docket Sheet Indictment Court's Charge Radio broadcast Testimony, Robert Gee Testimony, Zacarrella and Klute Testimony, Enman Testimony, Davison Testimony, Di Laura Request for mistrial	1 8 13 41 50 67 71 73 78 81
TT.	Request to charge	84

8

PAGINATION AS IN ORIGINAL COPY

D. C. Form No. 10	20 Rev.	1		U	
	TITLE OF CASE			ATTORNETS	
.(.	THE UNITED STATES	For U	7. S.:		
7	o Ya		4.		
AMES WILLIAM	IS a/ka EDWARD CARLTON(Cts.1,2,3,5); DEBORAH			**	
.NN SMITH (Ct.	5); DOROTHY GOLDSWITH(Cts.1,2,4,5)				
y force, vio f an employen vio. of T. n taking mon orce, violen ec.2(a) (Deft ith intent t DIC-Insured iding & abet o steal & pu ank, in vio. nlawfully & iolence, & i oney belongi ecpardy the angerous wea nowingly & u ad been take urloin from ec.2113(c)(6 he U.S. by, aking from a	lence & intimidation, taking from the prese e, money belonging to an FDIC-Insured bank, 18,USC, Sec. 2113(a) (Deft.1); aiding & abettin ley from an FDIC-Insured bank by willfull ce & intimidation, in vio. of T.18,USC, .3) (Ct.1); Willfully taking & carrying away osteal & purloin, money belonging to an bank, in vio. of T.18,USC, Sec. 2113(b) (Deft.1 ting the taking & carrying away with intentroin, money belonging to an FDIC-Insured of T.18,USC, Sec. 2(a) (Deft.3) (Ct.2); Willfull with felonious intent, taking by force, ntimidation from the presence of an employeng to an FDIC-Insured bank, & putting in life of said employee by means & use of a pon, in vio. of T.18,USC, Sec. 2113(d) (Ct.3); Inlawfully receiving & possessing money which & carried away with intent to steal & an FDIC-Insured bank, in vio. of T.18,USC, t.4); Conspiracy to commit offenses against with use of force, violence & intimidation, in employee with intent to steal, money in FDIC-Insured bank, in vio. of T.18,USC,	y,	Defendant	REC.	DISE
ec.371(Ct.5)		_			
ffenses: 1/3	5 Cts.	-			
itle 18					
ections: 211	3(a),(b),(c),(d), and 371	-			
S 2 mailed:	WAR 5 1975 US 3 mailed:				1
1975	PROCEEDINGS				
Feb 6	Filed Indictment				
Feb 6	J S 2 made				
Feb 6	Filed cy. 5 of CJA 20-order appointing Ed	ward	J. Mc	Guinness	as
Feb 5	counsel for deft Dorothy Goldsmith, MA Filed cy. 5 of CJA 20order appointing No	XWEL	L. Yag.		-
	for deft. Deborah Ann SmithMAXWELL,	Jea	r. 28	KIR AS CO	bunser
Feb 6	Filed cy. 5 of CJA 20order appointing He	erber	t Gree	nman as	counsel
F-1	for deft James Williams MAXWELL, Mag.				
Feb10	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Deft.	Debo	rah An	n Smith,	enters
	a plea of not guilty; Discovery motion	ns ar	e to b	e filed	b*
	February 17, 1975. Govt. is to responsible scheduled for March 4, 1975; bail of	na by	2/24/	1975; an	d argumen
Feb. 10	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Deft.	James	Willi	ams ente	rs a
	plea of not guilty: Discovery motions	are	to be	filed by	
	Feb. 17, 1975; Govt. is to respond by	Feb.	24, 1	975: and	argument
	is scheduled for March 4, 1975; Deft's denied at this time. Bail continued	s_mot	ion to	reduce	bail
Feb 11	denied at this time. Bail continued	at \$2	0,000.		
Feb 11	Filed Magistrate's docket sheet, complaint				
Feb 11	surety bond for deft. Dorothy Goldsmith Filed Magistrate's docket sheet, complaint				
	TALES MAKISTIALE S GOCKET SHEET, COMPINING	c, al	ridavi	t, and t	emporary

1975	PROCEEDINGS
Feb 11	commitment for deft. James Williams
Feb 11	Filed Magistrate's docket sheet, complaint, affidavit, temporary
	commitment, and \$5,000 surety bond for deft. Deborah Ann Smith-
	James Smith, surety.
Feb 11	Description of the state of the
rep 11	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Re: Dorothy GoldsmithDeft pled
	not guilty. Discovery motions are to be filed by 2/18/75. Govt.
	is to respond by 2/24 and argument is scheduled for 3/4/75. Bail
	continued at \$5,000 surety.
Feb 18	Filed deft Williams' nortce of motion for discovery and inspection.
	Bill of Particulars, etc., ret. 3/4/75 before the Magistrate
Feb 18	Filed deft Goldsmith's notice of motion for discovery, etc. ret.
	3/4/75 before the Magistrate
Feb. 19	Filed Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for Discovery and Insp
	ion, etc., ret. before Magistrate, 3/4/1975
Feb. 19	
	Filed Deft, Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for Bill of Particular
F-E 715	etc., ret Magistrate, 3/4/75
Feb.25	Proceedings before the Magistrate - AUSA T. Burns appeared for Govt
	No appearance for defendants. Govt. requested adj. for filling
	responses to defendants' motions and for argument; adj. to 3/11/75
	for argument.
Mar. 7	Filed Govt's response to pre-trial motions filed by the defendant
	Dorothy Goldsmith
Mar. 7	
	Yames Williams
Mar. 7	Filed Govt's response to pre-trial motions filed by the Deft.
	rised dovt s response to pre-trial motions filed by the Deft.
	Nobered In-
	Deborah Ann Smith
Mar. 1	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adi. to 3/18/75 for argument
Mar. 1	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adi. to 3/18/75 for argument
	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with
	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - decied in
	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part: Argument on discovery motion - denied in
	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part: Angument on motion for inspect on of
	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Argument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Rill of
	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Angument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakis. In for dettermined.
Mar. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Angument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakis, Jr. for deft. Deborah Ann Smith - Argument on motion for bill of particulars.
Mar. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Angument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakia, Jr for deft. Deborah Ann Smith - Argument on motion for bill of particulars - denied; Argument on motion for statements of concentive
Mar. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part: **ANGEMENTANANY THANKENSTANAINANXIMANIAMANIAN BRITANXIMATION AND ANGENCY AND ANGENCY OF ANG
Mar. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part: **ANGEMENTANANY THANKENSTANAINANXIMANIAMANIAN BRITANXIMATION AND ANGENCY AND ANGENCY OF ANG
Mar. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Algument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; ANGEMENTANIA INVANCEMENTALIANIANIANIANIANIANIANIANIANIANIANIANIANI
Маг. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Algument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Angument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakia, Jr. for deft. Deborah Ann Smith - Argument on motion for bill of particulars - denied; Argument on discovery motion for statements of co-conspirators - denied. Atty. Edward McGuinness for the defendant - Oral request for bill o particulars regarding additional claims of aiding and abettin - denied. Deft. to make further motions. Add. to 4/15/1975 for argument.
Mar. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Algument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Magnachinamy Managing Managin
Маг. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Angument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakia, Jr. for deft. Deborah Ann Smith - Argument on motion for bill of particulars - denied; Argument on discovery motion for etatements of co-conspirators - denied. Atty. Edward McGuinness for the defendant - Oral request for bill o particulars regarding additional claims of aiding and abettin - denied. Deft. to make further motions. Adj. to 4/15/1975 for argument. Filed Deit. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting disclosure, etc. ret. Mag. 4/15/75
Маг. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Angument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakia, Jr. for deft. Deborah Ann Smith - Argument on motion for bill of particulars - denied; Argument on discovery motion for etatements of co-conspirators - denied. Atty. Edward McGuinness for the defendant - Oral request for bill o particulars regarding additional claims of aiding and abettin - denied. Deft. to make further motions. Adj. to 4/15/1975 for argument. Filed Deit. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting disclosure, etc. ret. Mag. 4/15/75
Mar. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Angument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakia, Jr. for deft. Deborah Ann Smith - Argument on motion for bill of particulars - denied; Argument on discovery motion for statements of co-conspirators - denied. Atty. Edward McGuinness for the defendant - Oral request for bill of particulars regarding additional claims of aiding and abettin - denied. Deft. to make further motions. Adj. to 4/15/1975 for argument. Filed Deit. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting of the Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting Deft.
Mar. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Angument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakia, Jr. for deft. Deborah Ann Smith - Argument on motion for bill of particulars - denied; Argument on discovery motion for statements of co-conspirators - denied. Atty. Edward McGuinness for the defendant - Oral request for bill o particulars regarding additional claims of aiding and abettin - denied. Deft. to make further motions. Add. to 4/15/1975 for argument. Filed Deit. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting of the Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for dismissal of the Indictment, or an evidenturry hearing, etc.
Mar. 18 Apr. 10 Apr. 10	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herhert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; **ANGEMENTY NATIONAL
Mar. 18 Apr. 10 Apr. 10	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Algument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; **SNSSMENTANNY THANSENSY THAN
Mar. 18	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Algument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; **SNSSMENTANNY THANSENSY THAN
Apr. 10 Apr. 10 pr. 15	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Argument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakia, Jr. for deft. Deborah Ann Smith - Argument on motion for bill of particulars - denied; Argument on discovery motion for statements of co-conspirators - denied. Atty. Edward McGuinness for the defendant - Oral request for bill o particulars regarding additional claims of aiding and abettin - denied. Deft. to make further motions. Adj. to 4/15/1975 for argument. Filed Deit. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspecting disclosure, etc. ret. Mag. 4/15/75 Filed Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for copying and inspecting Deft. Deft. Dorothy Goldsmith's notice of motion for copying and inspecting the records of names and addresses of prospective jurors summoned jury service in the 11/1974 Session of the Court, and etc.; Bill of particulars. etc., ret. 4/15/75-Mag.
Apr. 10 Apr. 10 pr. 15	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herhert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part: **ANSEMBLYSERY THEOREMS MAGISTRES MAG
Apr. 10 Apr. 10 pr. 15	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. Herhert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part: **RNSHMENING***NAMINANING**NAMINANING***NAMINANING***NAMINANING***NAMINANING**NAMINANING**NAMINANING***NAMINANING**NAMINANI
Apr. 10 Apr. 10 pr. 15	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. Herhert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part: **RNSHMENING***NAMINANING**NAMINANING***NAMINANING***NAMINANING***NAMINANING**NAMINANING**NAMINANING***NAMINANING**NAMINANI
Apr. 10 Apr. 10 pr. 15	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herhert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part: Enginemantally Wanders
Apr. 10 Apr. 10 pr. 15	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. Herhert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Enginemantally Wallers wall wall wall wall wall wall wall wal
Apr. 10 Apr. 10 pr. 15	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herbert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Angument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Angument on motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakia, Jr. for deft. Deborah Ann Smith - Argument on motion for bill of particulars - denied; Argument on discovery motion for statements of co-conspirs tors - denied. Atty. Edward McGuinness for the defendant - Oral request for bill o particulars regarding additional claims of aiding and abettin - denied. Deft. to make further motions. Add. to 4/15/1975 for argument. Filed Deic. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspective disclosure, etc. ret. Mag. 4/15/75 Filed Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for dismissal of the Indictment, or an evidenturry hearing, etc. Filed Deft. Dorothy Goldsmith's notice of motion for copying and inspect the records of names and addresses of prospective jurors summoned jury service in the 11/1974 Session of the Court, and etc.; Bill of particulars, etc. ret. 4/15/75-Mag. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Defts. Dorothy Goldsmith and Debora Ann Smith; Argument on motion for disclosure of records of impane of the Grand Jury - Denied in part, granted in-part; add to 4/22/1975 at 10:30 a.m. for argument on motion for bill of particulars to deft. Goldsmith.
Apr. 10 Apr. 10 pr. 15	Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Adj. to 3/18/75 for argument. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Herhert Greenman with defendant Williams - Argument on discovery motion - denied in part - granted in part; Enginemization in motion for inspect on of grand jury minutes - denied; argument on motion for Bill of Particulars - denied in part; Atty. Nelson Zakia, Jr. for deft. Deborah Ann Smith - Argument on motion for bill of particulars - denied; Argument on discovery motion for statements of co-conspirators - denied. Atty. Edward McGuinness for the defendant - Oral request for bill o particulars regarding additional claims of aiding and abettin - denied. Deft. to make further motions. Adj. to 4/15/1975 for argument. Filed Deit. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for discovery & inspection of the Deft. Deborah Ann Smith's notice of motion for dismissal of the Indictment, or an evidenturry hearing, etc. Filed Deft. Deborah Goldsmith's notice of motion for copying and inspect the records of names and addresses of prospective jurors summoned iury service in the 11/1974 Session of the Court, and etc.; Bill of particulars, etc., ret. 4/15/75-Mag. Proceedings before the Magistrate - Defts, Dorothy Goldsmith and Debora Ann Smith; Argument on motion for disclosure of records of impant of the Grand Jury - Denied in part, granted in-part; add to 4/22/1975 at 10:30 a.m. for argument on motion for bill of particulars.

D. C. 109

CRIMINAL DOCKET CLERK'S FEES DATE DEFENDANT 1975 Filed Govt's response to deft Dorothy Goldsmith's request for Apr. 25 of Particulars. Apr.29 Proceedings before the Magistrate - Atty. Edward McGuinness appeared for defendant Goldsmith. in Oral argument on Bill of Particulars. denied in part, granted/part. Discovery is complete. Case will be sent to Judge Curtin. Filed Govt's supplemental response to the defendant Goldsmith' request for a bill of Particulars Apr. 30 Filed Goyt's motion to move action for trial Filed defendant Deborah Smith's notice of Motion for an order dismissing the indictment, or an evidentiary hearing, etc., ret. 5/19/75 May 19 Status report. All defts have made motions. Adj. to 6/30 for Govt response. May 21 Filed Deft. Dorothy Goldsmith's notice of motion for an Order dismissing the Indictment, etc., and for suppressing evidence, Deft. Goldsmith's motion to dismiss Indictment. Return date for Government's response to Deft's motion. Adj. 8/4/75 for June 30 deft. response to Government brief. Filed Govt's memorandum of Law Filed Govt's response to Pre-Trial motions on behalf of the July 3 defendant, Deborah Ann Smith and Memorandum of Law (filed above) July 3 Filed Govt's response to Pre-trial motions on behalf of the Deft., Dorothy-Goldsmith Filed Government's response to Pre-trial motions on behalf of the deft. July 3 James Williams (dated 7/31/75) Filed Atty. Nelson Makia's response to the Memorandum of Law sub-Aug. 4 mitted by the U.S. Atty. in opposition to motion to dismiss the Indictment pur. to Title 28, U.S.C., Sect. 1867, and requesting an evidentiary hearing in order to ascertain the procedures utilized by the Court Clerk and the Commissioner of Jurors in order to ascertain the degree of discretionexercised by these two individuals with regard to the granting of exemptions and excuses to any prospective jurors. (dated 8/1/1975) Filed Atty. Edward J. McGuinness's response/to the briefs filed by Aug. 4 the Govt. in response to motions filed regarding objections to regularity of the selection of jurors from whom respective Grand Juries were selected which returned the indictment, and requesting an evidentiary hearing etc.

Govt. has filed answering affidavits. Adj. to 8/14/75 for oral Aug. argument Motion by defts. for evidentiary hearing re jury selection procedure Motion denied. Court schedules hearing on suppression motion for 9/17 Filed letter dated 9/8/1975 from James Williams, aka Edward Carlton, Sept. 15

2

	PROCEEDINGS		RK'S PEES	'S PEES	
1975			DETEN	wr	
Sept.15	to the Court, requesting transfer to a Federal Institutial, etc.	ution	unti		
Sept. 15	Filed copy of letter dated 9/8/1975, from Assistant II to Atty. Edward J. McGuinness, informing Mr. McGuinnesses the Govt. intends to call, at the Suppose scheduled for 9/17/1975.	incess	. the		
Sept. 15	Filed Order that the motion of the defendants for an Exon the issue of compliance with the jury select hereby denied and the motion to dismiss the indeniedCURTIN.J.	ion pr	odedure	is	
	Filed one subpoens to testify for Robert Gee served of Filed subpoens - Augusta Emmons, served 9/16/1975 Suppression hearing. Adj. to 9-19-75	9-12-	75		
ept, 24	Filed subpoena - Det. Clute, served 9/17/75; Lt. Zacc 9/17/75	rella	serve	d	
Sept. 29	Filed two subpoenas - Francis J. Fortunato, Nelson F. 9/25/75	Fose,	served	-	
Sept. 19	Resumption of suppression hearing from 9-17-75 with the Hearing is adj. until 9-23-75		appear	rance	
Sept. 23	Hearing/continues from 9 19/75 with the same appearance	s.			
et. 1	Hearing continued from 9-23-75. Attorneys to meet wit	h cour	t	-	
Oct. 9	Filed letter darid 10/8/75 from AUSA Edward J. Wagner, to informing the court, in addition to the testime witnesses as to the events of Feb. 4, 1975, at what the Govt. intends to offer in evidence, experiences as the control of the	the t	variou	s	
Oct. 8	Pre-trial conference held in above case. Court directs recent hearing Be filed. Thereafter, Court wi briefing schedule.	a tra	up a	of	
Oct. 2	O Filed CJA 21 Authorization for Expert or other service cy. 5 filed in clerks office. cy 4 to Adm. office for				
Oct. 30	Filed Court Stemo's transcripto on proceedings of hear to suppress, held before Judge Curtin on 9-17-75. and	ing on	motion		
Oct. 3	O Fi ad CJA 21 copy 2 voucher for court stenoin the amt.	of \$5	00.00		
Nov. 24	Filed Gov 's Brief in-opposition to Defendants' motion	to su	press		
Nov. 24	Return date for bolefs. Govt. to file brief today. A of Goldsmith brief.	1		5 fo	
Dec. 9	Filed defendan brief supporting their motion to supphysical evidence.	ress	tateme	ts :	
Dec. 10	Filed Brief for the Deft. Dorothy Goldsmith in support	of her	motion	to	

D. C. 109

1		CL	ERK'S	RK'S PEES	
1975	PROCESDINGS	PAINTE		DEFENDANT	
c. 8	Return date for briefs. Adj. to 12-15-75		-		
c. 15	Filed Deft James Williams, notice of motion for Order	o Sho	-		
	cause, why the Indictment should not be dismissed,	etc.			
	12/22/75				
c. 16	Filed Government's response to defendant James Williams	pro s	e		
	motion for dismissal on alleged failure to receive	e spe	edy		
c. 15	Oral argument on motions. Adj. 12/19/75		-+	-+	
. 19	Oral argument held. Submitted. Trial 1-21-76		-		
c. 22	Order to show cause. Adj. to 12-29-75		_		
. 29	Motion by deft Williams to suppress. Motion to dismis	s for	lan	k	
	of speedy trial. Motion to suppress submitted. Motion	ns to	di	miss	
	for lack of speedy trial denied. Motion for a serevea	nce.	Th	5	
	will be taken up at the time of trial.				
1976					
Jan. 14	Filed Doft. Williams notice of motion for Inspection of	f the	G.		
Jan. 14	minutes and/or a dismissal of the indictment; h	otion	LO		
	suppress statement allegedly obtained from the material; dismissal of the indictment, or an ev	dont	0	Aug	
	hearing, precluding the Gove. from using certain	n pri	07	-	
	convictions, etc., ret. 5/19/75	P			
Jan. 14	Filed Decision and Order the Officer had sufficient pr	bable	ca	use	
	to arrest defts. Williams and Smith: Evidence	seized	at	the	
	time of arrest is justified; defis' motion to	suppr.	1.7	the	
	evidence found in the plastic garbage bag in t	ne bas	3 16	nt	
	of the building in which deft. Golds ith was a denied, re defts. Williams and Smith; Motion t	Cenar	1.00	5	
	oral staement by deft. Williams, denied; Motion	of de	ft.		
	Smith to suppress the statements given by her	13 815	10		
	denied: Jury selection and trial of is case	19 501	_		
	for 1/21/76 at 9:30 a.m.; Further movens shall	1 be p	rom	ptly	
	filed and will be heard by the Court 2 1/22/7	6CUI	TIN	, J.	
Jan 19	Filed eight subpoenss for Chris Henderson, Irene Borge	SP			
Jan 12	Linda Meacham, Charles Bedore, Patricia Paclone, Sally	1			
	Hachiagin, John Turgeon, Florence Stempiem, all served				
	on 1/14/76 sxcept Hachiagin, Turgeon, and Stempiem				
	served on 1-15-76				
n. 19	Deft Debereh Smith progent with counsel enters a Dies	of g	uil	ty to	
. 15	count five of the indictment. Sentence is de	ferre	d u	til	
	after the trial of the other defts.	1	1		
	for deft. Edward Carlton			2-	
an. 21	Govt. moves case to trial before Judge Curtin, and Jury	at Bi	1111	/76	
	New fork - It al is hereby adj. until tomorro	1 -	1		
			- 0		
an. 21	Deft. Dorothy Goldsmith present with counsel, enters a	plea	OI	guilt	

-	,				-L998 e
DATE	PROCEEDINGS	•	LERK	S FEES	
1976		PAINT	IFF	DETEN	DANT
an. 22	Filed Ct. Steno's transcript of the Proceedings of Char the Defendants Deborah Ann Smith and Dorothy	Colds	PI	ea o	ken
Jan. 22	Filed Cy. 5 of CJA-21 - Authorization for transcript:	cy. t	o t	he Ad	h
Jan. 22	Filed Five (5) subpoenas - Douglas Fay, served 1/15/76; Deborah Ann Smith, Det. Ronald Hilts, served 1/19 Goldsmith, served 1/21/76;	Duan /76;D	e T	. Tru	esda
an. 22	Jury enters - Deft. is present; Court(Jddge Elfvin) adj in behalf of Judge Curtin, to 11:30 a.m. on 1/26	ourns	tr	ial	-
an 26	Filed CJA 21 voucher (copy 2) for court stend in the amt orig. to Adm. office for payment. Curtin, J.		\$95	-00	
Jan 26	Deft. Williams, counsel and jury present. Case does no		_		-
	today because defense counsel, Herbert Greenman savises is ill. Trial adj. until 1-27-76.	the c	cour	t he	-
Jan 27		ert G	ee,	serv	red
Jan_27_	Trial continues from 1/22/76 - with the same appearances adj. until tomorrow	8 & j	ıry	Tri	1
Jan 28	Trial continues from yesterday with the same appearance On motion of the deft., juror no. 4, Carolino is removed from the case, and is replaced by	L. R	Lpp	lino	or.
	no. 1, Mary O'Connor. Trial is adj. until to	orro	v -	- 342	<u> </u>
Jan. 28	Filed two subpoenas - Anthony Berak, Mrs. Bonelle Balch	ser	ved	1/23	776
an 29	Trial continues from yesterday with the same appearance Carlton moves to dismiss the indictment. Motion retires to deliberate upon their verdict. Jury r cannot reach a verdict. Jury is dicharged. Con retrial for Feb. 24, 1976.	deni	ed	The	jur
an. 30	Filed subpoens (D.T.) Raymond Duffy, served 1/28/76				
eb. 9	Filed cany 5 CJA 21 voucher in the amt. of \$465.00. Grig Filed Sutpoena - Deborah Ann Smith- served 2/13/76	to A	dm.	offi	ce
19	Filed Ct Steno's transcript of Proceedings of the testi at the trial, before Judge Curtin and a Jury on 1 1/28/76	mony /27/7	tak	en nd	
19	Filed Ct Steno's transcript of the proceedings before J	udge	Cur	tin.	and
eb 20	Filed Cy 2- of CJA-21Voucher for Transcript in the a	nt. o	2 \$	186;	
eb, 2	Filed subpoena for Sandra Soles, served on 2/20/76. Fil	ed 7	su	poen	s
	for Sally Kachiagin, Florence Stempiem, John Turgeon, C	ris	lend	erso	n,
	Irene Borgese, Patricia Paolone, and Linda Meacham, all	serve	: do	n 2-1	24-7
	DAILERA DESCANTA DE RESEANA ESA DE ANCARA DA LA CARANTA DE PRESEAVA				i
eb 24	Govt moves case ready for trial/ whereupon the Attorne				

as nering

CRIMINAL DOCKET

1

DATE	PROCEEDINGS		S PEES	
1976			TPP	DEPENDAN
Feb 25	THE PERSON OF JULY SELECTION IFOM VESTORASS WITH AL-			
		Trial		
Feb. 26	Trial continues from vesterday with the same appearance			
Feb 25	Filed Three subpoenss - Ofcr Robert Con served 2/22/2	10.0	liry.	
	Truesdale, Lt John A Zaccarella, served 2/24/76	16:1711	ne	T
Feb. 2			-	
	Trial is adj. until 3/1/76	Jury	•	
Mar 1	Filed four subpoenss - Det. Ronald Hilts, Douglas Fay,	Dono		
V 2	Goldsmith, Charles Bedore, served 2/26/76	DOLO	my	
Mar 3	Filed Govt's requested Voir Dire			
Mar. 3	Filed Deft's Suppl. Request to Charge			
	Filed Govt's request to Charge			
Mar. 3	Filed Govt's suppl. request to charge			
Mar 3	Filed Govt's List of Witnesses			
Mar. 1	Trial continues for Edward Carlton, from 2/27/76 with	the e	2100	
	appearances and jury: Jury retires to deliberate un	nn th	0 1 P	
	verdict. The jury returns with the following words	-	Das	
	Edward Carlton is guilty on Counts 1,2,3 and 5 of t Sentence is deferred to 3/15/76.	he In	dic	tment.
Mar 15	Deft Edward Carlton is sentenced as follows: On Coun			
	the indictment, deft, is remanded to the custode of			
	den. , for a period of len (10) vester on Count T-			
	Indictacit, delt. is remanded by the waretake		_	en.
	deft , is remanded to the custody of the Attention	the I	ndi	tment,
	per rod or ren (10) lears, im thing blanch			12.54
			ha	of
	Idars. The sentences are to min committee	1	-	
	credited on his sentence. These sentences are to run		IS	
	the state of the sent of the state of the st	viola:	io	of.
	P. Con Can On any previous of Thingh CHRITIN	-	_	
lar 15	Filed Deft James Williams, aka Edward Carlton's notice	of a	pe	1
16	Filed Judgment and Commitment Order for Deft. Villiams, Commitment issued.	aka (Carl	ton.
arch 23	Filed CJA 21 copy 5 voucher for Ct. Steno, for Deft. Ed	ward	Car	lton
	in the aut. of \$750.00			2011
arch 23	Cy. of Deft. Carlton's notice of appeal, mailed to the	11 6	144	
	and the CCA with form A. CJA-23. statement of docke	t ent	+1 d	S.
	Cy. of notice of appeal given to deft., by defense	couns	el.	-
D. C. 109	-CLOSED			

-CLOSEI

Ct_

7

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

-V3-

JAMES WILLIAMS, a/k/a EDWARD CARLTON DEBORAH ANN SMITH DOROTHY GOLDSMITH

NOVEMBER 1974 SESSION Convened January 21, 1975
No.

CR75-35

Vio. T. 18, U.S.C.,
Sect. 2113(a).

Vio. T. 18, U.S.C., Sect. 2113(a), (b), (c) and (d); T. 18, U.S.C., Sect. 371

COUNT I

For the Western District of New York

The Grand Jury Charges:

That on or about the 4th day of February, 1975, in the Western District of New York, the defendant, JAMES WILLIAMS, a/k/a EDWARD CARLTON, willfully, unlawfully and with felonious intent, did by force, violence and intimidation take from the presence of Sally Hachigian, Irene Borgese, Linda Meachum and Florence Stempien, approximately \$3,764.00 in money belonging to and in the care, custody, control, management and possession of the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, 302 Portage Road, Niagara Falls, New York, the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2113(a).

At said time and place, the defendant, DOROTHY GOLDSMITH, aided, abetted, counseled, induced and procured the commission of the offense alleged above; in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2(a).

COUNT II

The Grand Jury further charges:

That on or about the 4th day of February, 1975, in the Western District of New York, the defendant, JAMES

FPI-SS-7-10-70-LM-5846

WILLIAMS, a/k/a EDWARD CARLTON, willfully and unlawfully did take and carry away, with intent to steal and purloin, from the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, 302 Portage Road, Niagara Falls, New York, the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, certain money aggregating approximately \$3,764.00 belonging to said bank and in its care, custody, control, management and possession; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2113(b).

At said time and place, the defendant, DOROTHY GOLDSMITH, aided, abetted, counseled, induced and procured the commission of the offense alleged above; in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2(a).

COUNT III

The Grand Jury further charges:

That on or about the 4th day of February, 1975, in the Western District of New York, the defendant, JAMES WILLIAMS, a/k/a EDMARD CARLTON, willfully, unlawfully and with felonious intent did by force, violence and intimidation take from the presence of Sally Hachigian, Irene Borgese, Linda Meachum and Florence Stempien, approximately \$3,764.00 in money belonging to and in the care, custody, control, management and possession of the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, 302 Portage Road, Niagara Falls, New York, the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and JAMES WILLIAMS, a/k/a EDWARD CARLTON in committing the aforesaid offense, did assault said Sally Hachigian, Irene Borgese, Linda Meachum and Florence Stempien and did put in jeopardy the life of said Sally Hachigian, Irene Borgese, Linda Meachum and Florence Stempien by means and use of a dangerous weapon,

that is, a handgun; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2113(d).

COUNT IV

The Grand Jury further charges:

On or about the 4th day of February, 1975, in the Western District of New York, DOROTHY GOLDSMITH unlawfully and knowingly did receive and possess approximately \$80.00 which had been taken and carried away with intent to steal and purloin from the care, custody, control, management and possession of the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, 302 Portage Road, Niagara Falls, New York, the deposits of which were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation at the time of such taking and carrying away, and DOROTHY GOLDSMITH knew said money to have been so taken and carried away; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2113(c).

COUNT V

The Grand Jury further charges:

From on or about the 30th day of January, 1975 and continuing through the 4th day of February, 1975, in the Western District of New York, the defendants, JAMES WILLIAMS, a/k/a EDWARD CARLTON, DEBORAH ANN SMITH, and DOROTHY GOLDSMITH, together with Sandra Lynn Soles, named as a co-conspirator herein but not as a defendant, unlawfully, willfully and knowingly did combine, conspire and agree together to commit offenses against the United States, to wit, to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 2113, by, with use of force, violence and intimidation, taking from the person or presence of another with intent to steal,

certain monies, which monies were then in the care, custody, control, management and possession of the Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, 302 Portage Road, Niagara Falls, New York, the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

OVERT ACTS

the times hereinafter mentioned, the defendants committed the following overt acts in furtherance of said conspiracy and to effect the objects thereof:

- 1. On or about January 30, 1975, JAMES WILLIAMS, a/k/a EDWARD CARLTON, DEBCRAH ANN SMITH, and Sandra Lynn Soles drove from Buffalo, New York to Nice a Falls, New York and met with DOROTHY GOLDSMITH.
- 2. On or about February 4, 1975, JAMES WILLIAMS, a/k/a EDWARD CARLTON, DEBORAH ANN SMITH, Sandra Lynn Soles drove from Buffalo, New York to Niagara Falls, New York and met with DOROTHY GOLDSMITH.
- 3. On or about February 4, 1975, FOROTHY GOLDSMITH made a telephone call to the Niagara Falls Police Department.

RICHARD J. ARCARA United States Attorney

A TRUE BILL:

John R Clatings.

(RT535)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN Distriot of NEW YORK

Division

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

JAMES WILLIAMS, a/k/a EDWARD DEBORAH ANN SMITH-DOROTHY-GOLDSMITH-CARLTON----

INDICTMENT

Filed in open court this 610 day

GP C 802-482

CHARGE OF THE COURT

THE COURT:

I believe my charge will not be unduly long and I think that probably we might as well go ahead with it now and then after the charge, you can take a break and have some little refreshment, a light luncheon, so that you can go ahead with your deliberation in this case. At the noon break, do not talk about the case. Wait until you are altogether in the jury room before you begin your deliberation.

Deliberation means that you will discuss the facts in the case in a reasonable manner. This case is not to be decided, as I told you before on the considerations of bias or prejudice, sympathy, any consideration of what the sentence may be or what it ough to be, anything like that. Sentence, of course, is for the Court to determine, if you find the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The other considerations such as bias or prejudice have

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

absolutely no bearing upon your delibera-

You should listen carefully to the reasoned views of your fellow jurors.

You should give your own in the mix.

You should try ply the facts which you find to the law which I give you, as well as you can.

It is my duty to try to charge you on the law which applies to this case.

It is your duty to accept the law as I charge it and apply it to the facts and from that mix, announce a verdict when you come back into open court.

For your guidance, we will give to
you a copy of the indictment so that you
will have that before you in considering
the various counts here. It is a guide
only because you remember in the very
beginning of this case, I said that an
indictment is absolutely no evidence of
any criminal conduct on the part of Mr.
Carlton. That is a very important
consideration. The indictment is simply
a means of telling him what he is

-

charged with so that he can prepare his defense and to make sure that he is not charged again for the same offense.

Mr. Carlton, as I have told you before, is presumed innocent. That presumption remains with him throughout the trial. It can only be overcome if you find, by unanimous vote on any count or on all counts that he is, - the Government has, indeed, proven his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

must separately consider each count, must be by unanimous vote which you will announce in open court. Mr. White, at that time, will ask your foreman as to how you find and, of course, then your verdict will be either guilty or not guilty announced as to each count. You may find the defendant not guilty on all counts. You may find him guilty on some counts and not guilty on others and you may find him guilty on all counts, but whatever your verdict, again I repeat, it can only be if you are convinced of

.

his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

buring the course of the trial, it
has been my job to try to rule on the
evidence as well as I can and when I
ruled, I said a particular question
could be asked and the answer could be
considered, then you may consider it.

If I said no, that the objection is
sustained, that means that you should not
consider the answer given if it was given.
In your deliberation, you may consider
the exhibits which have been offered into
evidence in this case and which will be
sent to you in the jury room.

There is certain evidence which was ruled out. There are certain exhibits which will not be delivered to you. You can only make up your mind in this case based upon what you do have. You cannot speculate or guess about other things which were not brought to your attention in the courtroom. You are to make up your mind on the evidence which you have before you.

In any criminal case, there are

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

25

generally two types of evidence which the jury may listen to. One is direct evidence. That is, the testimony of an eye witness. The other is circumstantial. That is, the proof of a chain of circumstances pointing to one conclusion or another. As a general rule, the law makes no difference between direct and circumstantial evidence but requires that before convicting a defendant, the jury be satisfied of the derendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. It is important to keep in mind that circumstantial evidence must be used with caution. It must be reasonable and we all know in our own lives that when we come to some conclusion based upon a chain of facts that if each one of the links in the chain is not a good substantial one, then our conclusion is going to be faulty so that the facts you consider must have a relation one with the other. If, at the end of the chain there are two possible inferences, one pointing to guilt and the other pointing to innocence

25

in this kind of a case, since it is
the burden of the Government to convict
and a reasonable doubt then you would
accept the inference pointing to innocence.

In this case, it is clear that the defendant did not take the stand. He is not obliged to. In our courts, the failure of a defendant to take the stand may not be considered against him in any fashion. It may not be even, - you may not discuss it. You may not consider it privately. It is to have absolutely no bearing upon your verdict. He has the absolute right not to take the stand. The burden remains upon the Government to prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and it goes with that rule, it goes with it that he is not required to offer any evidence on his part. The burden is always upon the Government.

We have talked about reasonable doubt, doubt and what is it. A reasonable doubt, ladies and gentlemen, is a fair doubt based upon reason and common sense and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

arising from the state of the evidence. It is rarely possible to prove anything to an absolute certainty. That is why, as you recall during Mr. Greenman's summation when he was using the word "moral certainty" that I interrupted and explained to you that it is really very difficult to prove almost anything to a moral certainty and that is not the standard. The standard is the Government must prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. That proof beyond a reasonable doubt is estallished if the evidence is such a you would be willing to rely upon and act in matters most important in your own affairs. A defendant is not to be convicted on suspicion, conjecture or speculation. A reasonable doubt may arise not only from the evidence produced, but also from the lack of evidence. Since the burden is upon the prosecution to prove the accused guilty beyond a reason+ able doubt of every essential element of the crime charged, a defendant has the right to rely upon failure of the

prosecution to establish such proof.

A defendant may also rely upon the evidence brought out on cross examination of the witnesses and the exhibits which are in the case. A reasonable doubt is such a doubt as is based upon reason and as appeals to your power of logic.

It is a doubt arising out of something tangible in the evidence in the case or something lacking in the case.

If you feel uncertain and not fully convinced that a defendant is guilty of the crime charged and you believe you are acting in a reasonable manner and you believe a reasonable man or woman in any matter of like importance would hesitate to convict because of such a doubt as you have, that is a reasonable doubt, to the benefit of which the defendant is entitled. If you have such a doubt, then you must acquit.

The rule that the Government must

prove every essential element of the

crime beyond a reasonable doubt does not

mean that you have to believe the testimony

of every Government witness as being true beyond a reasonable doubt or that every piece of evidence they have offered is true beyond a reasonable doubt. It means only that the credible evidence as weighed and found by you under my instructions and viewed as a whole must establish every essential element of the crime and a defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and, as I have explained to you, your vote must be by unanimous decision.

we have the exhibits which are in evidence, but then we must rely mainly upon the testimony of the witnesses and as I have told you before, you should consider carefully the cross examination of the witnesses and how one witness relates to another and how the witness relates to the exhibits which have been introduced into evidence.

As I have told you before, you are the sole judges of the credibility of the witnesses and it is up to you to

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

24

25

determine how much credit you will give to each witness. You should carefully scrutinize the testimony given, the circumstances under which each witness testified and every matter in evidence which tends to indicate whether the witness is worthy of belief. You should consider the witness' intelligence, motive, state of mind, demeanor and manner while on the stand. You should consider any relationship that the witness had to either side of the case, the manner in which the witness might be affected by the verdict and the extent to which, if at all, each witness is either supported or contradicted by other evidence in the case.

The mere fact that the testimony of a witness is inconsistent or that there are discrepancies in the testimony does not necessarily mean that you must reject the witness' credibility. You must determine whether the inconsistency or discrepancy is the result of falsification or whether it is the result of

innocent miscalculation or inaccurate observation. If you find that any witness has lied with respect to any portion of his or her testimony, you may disregard that portion which you find to be unbelievable or you may, if you desire, disregard the entire testimony of that witness. You will, of course, as I have said before, consider a witness' testimony against all the other testimony in the case to determine how much reliance you should place upon it.

In this case, there are special rules which apply to certain witnesses. You recall both the witnesses Sandra Soles and Deborah Smith testified that they were involved in this bank robbery. As far as their testimony is concerned, because of that fact, because of their involvement, they are persons that we call in the law an accomplice. An accomplices testimony, you may, of course, accept it, but when you do accept it, you must weigh it with caution and accept it

4

5

3

6

8

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

only with great care. If you believe it, then, of course, you may consider it with all the other testimony in the case, but it must be very carefully considered.

In this case, ladies and gentlemen, I will now turn to the indictment and what the Government is required to prove as to each count in the indictment. The first count charges, and I am going to summarize because the indictment will be delivered to you. It charges a violation of Section 2113(a), in that the defendant willfully and with falonious intent and unlawfully did, by force, violence and intimidation take from the presence of certain tellers money which was in the care, custody and control of the bank. In general terms, we would say Count 1 charges a robbery. The Government must prove these essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt before you could bring in a verdict of guilty on the first count.

First, that Mr. Carlton took the money from a bank, the deposits of which

were insured by the Federal Deposit
Insurance Corporation.

Secondly, that the taking was accomplished through the use of force or violence or by intimidation and from the person or presence of one of the named individuals.

Thirdly, the money taken was in the care, custody, control of the bank.

Fourthly, the taking was done with wrongful intent. That is, knowingly and willfully and with a specific intent to disregard or break the law.

In Count 1 of the indictment, the

Government has alleged that about

\$3,764 was taken. The exact amount of

money taken, the Government is not

required to prove exactly that amount was

taken. It is necessary that some amount

of money was, in fact, taken and that

it be close to the amount charged.

As far as determining whether or not the deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, you have in evidence a certificate and

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

..

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

I believe it is stipulated to that the bank is, in fact, insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

There are certain words which should be defined a little more specifically. To take by force and violence or by intimidation means willfully to take by putting in fear of bodily harm. Such fear must arise from the willful conduct of the accused rather than from some mere temperamental timidity on the part of the victim. However, the fear of the victim need not be so great as to result in terror, panic or hysteria. Taking by force and violence or by intimidation must be established by proof of one or more acts on the part of the accused which were done or made in such a manner and under such circumstances as would produce in the ordinary person fear of bodily harm.

Actual fear need not be proved.

Fear like intent may be inferred from statements made and acts done or omitted by the accused and by the victim as well.

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and from all of the surrounding circumstances shown by the evidence in the case.

When we turn to Count 2, this charges, in ordinary terms, what we usually think of as a larceny. On the same day at the same place, the same bank, it charges that the defendant will ully and unlawfully took and carried away with intent to steal and purloin a sum of money, which we described before, from the bank. As far as this count is concerned, the Government is required to prove again that the defendant, prove beyond a reasonable doubt, certainly, that the defendant took the money, that the deposits were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, that the money was in the care, custody, control and management of the bank, and thirdly, that the taking was done knowingly and willfully and with intent to steal or purloin and with specific intent on the part of the defendant to disregard and break the law and take the money.

2

3

4

5

0

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, it is not required that the Government prove exactly the amount taken but close to that sum.

When we get to Count 3, this particular count is similar to the first count charging the robbery, but it also charges an offense under Subdivision (d) of 2113 Section in that it charges that the defendant in committing the aforesaid offense which is described as the robbery and larceny, did assault certain named tellers and did put in jeopardy their lives by means and use of a dangerous weapon. That is a hand gun, in violation of this section. In this particular count, that is, Count 3 of the indictment, you may keep in mind that the Government must prove the facts, the same facts which they proved in one and two before you can find the defendant guilty in Count 3 and in addition, must prove certain additional acts and prove it beyond a reasonable doubt.

In committing the acts as charged, you must also find that the defendant

here assaulted the named, and the names are set forth in the indictment, or put in jeopardy life of the named tellers by use of a dangerous weapon or device. In order to find the defendant guilty on this count, you must find that he willfully committed the robbery of the bank as charged in Count 1 and the larceny of the bank as charged in Count 2 and then you must determine whether the evidence in the case established that the accused in committing these offense, assaulted the named tellers or put in jeopardy their life by use of a hand gun.

Certain words again are important
to have more fully described. Assault
is a willful attempt or threat to inflict
injury upon the person of another when
coupled with an apparent present ability
to do so or an intentional display of
force such as would give the victim
reason to fear or expect immediate
bodily harm. An assault may be committed
without actually touching or striking

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

or doing bodily harm to the person of another, so if a person has the apparent present ability to inflict bodily harm or injury upon another person and will-fully attempts or even threatens to inflict such bodily harm as by intentionally flourishing or pointing a pistol or a gun at another person, they may be found to have assaulted such person.

To put in jeopardy the life of a person by the use of a dangerous weapon or device means to expose such person to a risk of death by the use of such dangerous weapon or device.

A dangerous weapon or device includes anything capable of being readily
operated, manipulated, wielded or otherwise used by one or more persons to
inflict severe bodily harm or injury
upon another person, so an operable
firearm or other gun objectively capable
of firing a bullet or other ammunition
may be found to be a dangerous weapon
or device.

When we turn to Count 5, - Count 4

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

... 2

Mr. Carlton and you simply ignore it.

I might say at this stage certainly you understand that the fact that these other individuals, Deborah Smith, Dorothy

Goldsmith are charged in this indictment is certainly no evidence whatever of the guilt of the defendant Edward

Carlton. The fact that they pled guilty to particular charges has absolutely no bearing on the guilt of Edward Carlton.

What they did is something else again, but it certainly cannot be considered as any evidence standing alone of his guilt.

Count 5 charges a conspiracy.

In this particular count, it charges that beginning on or about January 30, 1975 and continuing through February 4, 1975, the defendants who are named therein, including Edward Carlton, together with Sandra Lynn Soles who you recall was charged in Niagara County or named as co-conspirators, or she was named as a co-conspirator, but not as a

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

defendant, that these individuals
unlawfully, willfully and knowingly
conspired, combined and agreed to commit
offenses against the United States,
namely, to violate Section 2113 by the
use of force and violence and intimidation, taking from the person of the
named tellers certain monies, which
monies were then and there in the
custody, control, management and possession of the Manufacturers & Traders
Trust Company.

the planning of a criminal act. The other charges here under 2113(a) and so forth charge the doing of an act, so what we are concerned with here is the plan and you must find before you can convict Edward Carlton that he knowingly and willfully became a member of a conspiracy and the object of the conspiracy was to rob the bank which has been described to you. The Government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt in order to convict on this count the

following:

One, the existence of a conspiracy or plan beginning on or about January 30, 1975 for the purpose of willfully, knowingly and intentionally robbing the described bank.

Two, that the defendant Edward

Carlton knowingly joined the conspiracy
with knowledge of its unlawful purpose.

Three, that any one of the conspirators need not be Edward Carlton, but
any one of the conspirators committed
at least one overt act in furtherance
of the object of the conspiracy.

Generally speaking, what is a conspiracy. A conspiracy is a combination or agreement among two or more people to violate the law and as charged in the indictment.

In this case an agreement to rob
the bank. A conspiracy is a kind of
partnership in criminal purpose. The
gist of the crime is the combination
or agreement itself to violate the law.
It does not mean that the individuals

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

must meet and sign a formal partnership agreement or that they must sit down and agree in so many words on what their unlawful plan is or how they are going to carry it out, but you must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that two or more people intentionally combined or agreed to a plan which was worked out between them in some fashion.

A conspiracy may be found to exist

even though the conspiracy is never

accomplished. Proof, however, that the

conspiracy was accomplished may be con
sidered by you as evidence of the existence

of the conspiracy itself.

The second element which the

Government is required to prove beyond a

reasonable doubt is that the defendant

Mr. Carlton joined the conspiracy with

knowledge of its unlawful purpose. When

you say "join the conspiracy", you do not

mean that the defendant has to apply for

membership. Before one can be found to

be a conspirator, however, he must know

about the conspiracy and of its unlawful

purpose and voluntarily and knowingly join in it with an intent to combine with others to violate the law. He must knowingly promote the scheme or have some kind of a stake in its outcome.

One may become a member of a conspiracy without knowing all of the details or all of the operation of the conspiracy.

One defendant may know only one other member of the conspiracy, yet, if he knowingly cooperates to further the illegal object of the purpose to violate the law, he becomes a member.

If you find that a defendant did join the conspiracy, then he is bound by what others said and did to promote and further the venture, even though he himself is not present. This is so because each partner becomes the agent or partner of every other conspirator.

The third element which the

Government is required to prove beyond

a reasonable doubt is that one of the

overt acts set forth in the indictment

was accomplished by one of the members

25

of the conspiracy in order to further the object of the conspiracy. An overt act is an act done by a member of the conspiracy in an effort to accomplish some purpose of the conspiracy. The reason the law of conspiracy requires an overt act, because a person might agree to commit a crime and then change his mind or persons might plan a crime en do nothing further to bring it about. Therefore, before a defendant may be convicted of the crime of conspiracy, one or more of the conspirators must have taken at least a step or performed a single act which moved toward carrying out the unlawful intent to commit the crime.

You will note by looking at the indictment that some of the overt acts are completely innocent. Nevertheless, if those acts were performed by any member of the conspiracy and they were done during the existence of the conspiracy and in furtherance of its purpose, then those acts are sufficient to

Satisfy this third element. The

Government is not required to prove that
all of the overt acts were committed,
but only one.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In this case, you will keep in mind that the law does not require the prosecution to put in evidence all the evidence that is at hand or to call all the witnesses, all persons who may have been present at any time or place involved in the case or all those who may appear to have some knowledge of the matters in issue at this trial. However, in judging the credibility of the witnesses who have testified, in considering the weight and effect of all evidence that has been produced, you may consider the prosecution's failure to call other witnesses or produce other evidence shown by the evidence in the case to be in existence and available.

As I have said to you before, the burden of proof remains upon the Government to prove the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable coubt.

If, ladies and gentlemen, during your deliberation, you desire, - if you have a question, have your foreman write the question out on a slip of paper and give it to the Marshal and he will deliver it to me. Do not attempt to contact anyone else during the course of your deliberation. If you are called into court because of some problem, do not tell me how you stand numerically, until you have agreed upon a unanimous verdict.

At this time, ladies and gentlemen,

I will ask you to step into the corridor

while I listen to the requests to charge

and any exceptions to the charge as I

have given it to you. If you will step

out with the Marshal, I will have you

back in a few minutes.

(Jury escorted from the courtroom.)

Mr. Wagner.

I have nothing else, your Honor.

No requests.

THE COURT:

1 THE COURT: Mr. Greenman. 2 MR. GREENMAN: Your Honor, just to renew my request 3 and indicate my exception to the charge for the Court's failure to charge those 4 5 matters I have requested. The only other thing, and I felt we charged in 6 the first case, I have written it here. There was a question under Request 8 Number 4. 9 THE COURT: Is this the Government's request? 10 MR. GREENMAN: Yes, it was, your Honor. That's 11 what we talked about originally the 12 last time at the last trial. There was 13 some mention and I would renew my request 14 with regard to Count 3 or either of the 15 other counts that if, in fact, the jury 16 did not find beyond a reasonable doubt 17 that it was Edward Carlton who had 18 committed the original acts, then their 19 job has stopped there and they would have 20 to go no further in looking at the other 21 acts. In other words, the assault, 22 when you are discussing assult. 23 THE COURT: I think in telling them that they 24 must find that the defendant committed 25

1		one and two, that explains it to them
2		the other way around, I believe suffi-
3		ciently.
4	MR. GREENMAN:	The other thing, your Honor,
5		previously as before I raised an exception
6		to the Court's charge on the definition
7		of assault and I renew that objection
8		at this time.
9	THE COURT:	I refuse to charge further on that.
10	MR. GREENMAN:	That is all I have, your Honor.
11	THE COURT:	Have the jury come back, please.
12		
13		(Jury returns to the courtroom.)
14		
15	THE COURT:	Will the Marshals step forward,
16		please.
17		
18		(One male and one female Deputy
19		United States Marshals sworn as custodians
20		of the jury.)
21		
22	THE COURT:	Mr. Ambrusco, again we will excuse
23		you, and Mrs. Johnson, we excuse you with
24		certainly the thanks of the Court for
25		your patience and for your cooperation

Police Headquarters, Shumway. Yes, this is -- there's some men fighting, they got guns around Three Corners, would you please send somebody out? At the Three Corners? Yes. Okay, ma'am. Police Headquarters, Shumway. 50? 50. There's nothing going on inside the Three Corners, you got a complainant? Stand by. Lieutenant, we got any complainants on that Three Corners call? Negative. It was a female, said they were fighting there and that was it. Okay. 50, call from the desk lieutenant is the female on the phone say some parties were fighting and that was supposed to be inside the Three Corners, right? Didn't specify inside or out, they said Three Corners. Well, there's nothing here now, so we'll clear Code 21. Manufacturers & Traders, Portage and East Falls, they got an alarm. 48 and 41. 48. M & T Portgage and East, an alarm. 48, 10-4, 41, 10-4, KEB 331. 41. Go ahead. That's affirmative, this is no false alarm over here, they just got robbed over here. It was a blue car, just a minute. 10-4. 48, I believe the plate was 673ZAX. 10-4. 673ZAX, last seen heading north in the alley between 13th and 14th from East Falls

1 Street. 10-4. 47, 42, you read? 47 on the way. 2 42's inside here yet, John. Police Headquarters, 3 Shumway. 10-4. 47, give me the plate again. 673Z, Zebra, A, Adam, X, X-ray, we are getting a 5 28, now, attempting to. 47, 10-4. That car is occupied by two colored males. All cars, that car 6 is occupied by two colored males. Any weapons? 7 41? Go ahead. Are there any weapons used? 8 I don't know, I haven't been in the bank yet, I got this from a witness out here on East Falls Street. 10 10-4. That was 673 Zebra, Adam, X-ray? ZAX, yes. 11 I believe that's a rented car. See if Lieutenant 12 Justiana or Captain Mieieier on the air. Go ahead. 13 Did you read that, Portgage and East a bank was just 14 held-up? Yes, I'm at 31st and Walnut and my car 15 quit. 43 to 46. Buffalo Avenue. That's 10-4. 16 I'm going to take Pine. Any description on the 17 parties? Two Negro males. 42, I'm clear of the 18 range, I have Officer Badger with me, you want to give 19 me the description so far? A blue colored car 20 plate number 673 Zebra, Adam, X-ray, two Negro 21 males in the car, that's all we have got so far. 22 Want to repeat that plate? 673 Z, Zebra, A, Adam,

X, X-ray. John, any kind of clothes? John? Yes. 1 2 On that bank robbery, 673 Zed, Adam, X-ray, it's a blue and white car, it's got a white top. The 3 detectives are on their way there and also a detective car's going out to Hooker Chemical for an 5 investigation there. 14 to radio, was there a car 6 involved in this? 14, there was a white over blue 7 car, plate number 673 Zebra, Adam, X-ray. All cars, 8 the 28 came back, it's a rental car, Cheektowaga. 9 It's a blue '75 Plymouth sedan from a rental company 10 in Cheektowaga. 14 to radio, you got the State 11 Police notified on this, on the Thruway? That's 12 10-4, we have notified the outlying areas. Any kind 13 of clothes, there's four or five hanging around. 14 No description yet, this is just information we got 15 bits and pieces from the officer and witnesses. 16 44. 44. 43 to 44. Go ahead, Bob. There's been 17 an armed robbery at the M & T Bank at Pine and 18 Portage, plate number is 673, that's 673, Zebra, 19 Adam, X-ray. It's a '65 white over blue Dodge, 20 perpetrated by two Negro males. I have got Pine 21 Avenue, 46 has got Buffalo. Why don't you see if you 22 can catch Military Road in case they are headed for

22

23

Griffin Manor? 10-4. That's a Plymouth. '75. All cars additional information on that armed robbery at M & T Portage and East, there was a Negro male and Negro female. A handgun was used, description, Negro male had a ski mask. Black pants and dark colored Pea coat, possibly black or dark navy blue. He was tall and slender. 47 go to the 13th Street School, that car used was just left there. Gas Company car just called, they just pulled in 13th Street School lot. On the way. Description of the female involved wore a long plaid coat, and red slacks. Late teens, early twenties and was light skinned. Had a blue '75 Plymouth, 673 Zebra, Adam, X-ray. Last seen going north in the alley between 13th and 14th from East Falls. A handgun was used, authority car 41, KEB331. John, get a back-up car for that 13th Street School. John, is anybody calling a rental agency to see if they can get a name on the rental of the car? Yes. Car 42. 42. Back-up 47 at the 13th Street School lot. Give me a 20. 13th Street between East Falls and Niagara. John, can you get me any particulars if any cash was taken or not? I have nothing on it unless you

want to call the bank. Coney and Harvey called me 2 from there. Okay. Car 46. 46. Go to Hooker main gate, ask for Jimmy James. A preliminary investigation with regards to the theft of some platinum, Dick's are unable to go at this time. 5 That's Hooker main gate? 10-4. Ask for Jimmy 6 Johnson -- Jimmy James. 19-4. 47 and 42, when you 7 get to the 13th Street School, do not touch that 8 vehicle, it will be wanted for prints. 47, that's 9 10-4. They are out of the car, I'm speaking to 10 the two men from the Gas Company right now, I'll 11 get right back to you. 10-4. Car 17, we are 12 here. We'll handle the investigation here by the 13 car. 10-4. Can I have an I.D. man come down here 14 right away, though? 10-4. 77, 10-19 now. 10-4. 15 47. Go ahead. These two parties are -- from the 16 Gas Company stated that they saw three individuals 17 this time, two did match the description, they headed 18 north on 13th Street towards Niagara Street and they 19 lost sight of them there. 47, also they are carrying 20 a brown paper bag. 10-4. 17 to 47, are one of the 21 cars on 13th Street, go ahead. You want to come 22

23

ACK W. HUNT. S R. OFFICIAL SUPREME COURT REPORTED

back here to protect this car until the I.D. man

1 gets here? That's 48 will head over there. The other police car on 13th Street, get the Gas Company 2 employee's names and addresses and so forth, make 3 the follow-up. 47, that's 10-4. 47, you gentlemen state that those parties may have headed west on 5 Niagara from 13th. West on Niagara, from 13th, 10-4. 44. 44 clear on Military Road, Code 38. 7 10-4. 10-19. 10-4. 17. Car 47. 47, go 8 ahead. Advise 17 we are checking with the rental 9 agency, we are going to see who last rented the car 10 and they will call us back with the information. 11 10-4. 47 to 42. Go ahead. They stated that the 12 third party was a woman about five foot three, heavy 13 set, wearing a checkered coat. Early twenties. 14 49. 49. You might check with the cab company, 15 see if they make a pick up in that area. 16 47. On that third party involved, heavy set female, 17 what color coat she have? A yellow and red 18 checkered type pattern. He stated she's in her 19 early twenties, heavy set, about five foot three. 20 10-4. 47, apparently she was waiting over here 21 by the school, she walked out from between the 22 building and walked up 13th toward Niagara and then 23

23

west on Niagara. We got a car coming in for Truesdale. Yes, 77's coming in to take him out. Okay. 48. Go ahead. We are standing by this vehicle. 10-4. Car 42. 42. 915 Walnut Avenue report of two Negro males in the basement. 10-4. Get him a back-up, John. 42, Officer Badger with you? Affirmative. There's two men in that car. Okay. Better have another car head for that area just in case. 47. Go ahead. Are you clear? I will be in about a minute, what we got? Go to 915 Walnut, we got a possible 154. Two Negro males in the basement, 42 is in route now. 10-4, on the way. 42, that was 915 Walnut? That's a 10-4. John, what was the time on that call for the bank? 10:55. Okay. Car 43, to any car at the bank scene. Car 43 to radio. 43. On the second female, was it possible that she was younger? Late teens? They said early twenties, may have been late teens. She's five three, heavy, had a yellow and red checkered coat. Thank you, John. I mean the first, the first female. Late teens, early twenties. Small, thin build? That's unknown, it was light skinned. 10-4. I'm on Pine Avenue

4%

23

eastbound trying to catch up with a LaSalle Cab. It's got two female Negro occupants and one male Negro occupant in the back seat. With a Cauc driving. Where on Pine Avenue are you now? 66th and Pine, eastbound. 45. Car 45. Go ahead. Did you read 43, he's going east on Pine at 66th. Behind a LaSalle Cab. One Negro male and two Negro females in the cab, a white male driving. 10-4. 43 to 45. Go ahead. We'll be at Pine and Military, Al, in about a minute. Can you intercept? I'm at 72nd and Buffalo. Disregard. 43, have you got a sheriff's car available? I'll check. Who called? 21, I'm at Pine and Military. 21 they are trying to stop a LaSalle Cab going east on Pine, at Military now, two Negro females, one Negro male in the cab, there's been an armed robbery at the bank, Portage and East. 21 to 43. That cab blue and yellow? Negative, black and white. 10-4. 47 to 17. (inaudible) Car 77 or 303. Car 43 to radio. 43. I've got the cab driver in my car, the three Negros haven't moved yet. States he picked these people up at the three hundred block of 14th. Description fits. You got a back-up car yet?

ACK W. HULT C. S R. OFFICIAL SUPREME COURT REPORTER

21's at your 20, now. Where are you? We are in front of the Red Barn. I see a patrol car with a red light coming, stand by. 10-4. 21 and 45, 43's got that car stopped in front of the Red Barn.

42, 37. 10-4. 47, 39. 47 to car 17. Go ahead. Did those two agents come over there and speak to you yet? Car 48. 10-4. Is the I.D. man there?

10-4. Advise him to go to the bank immediately.

10-4. That's negative 47, we didn't talk to anyone. Car calling 47, 90 ahead. That's 17 now, we didn't speak to no agents. 10-4.

* * *

ACK W HI T. C S R . OFFICIAL SUPREME COURT REPORTE

caucasian male approach the intersection of Pine Avenue and 56th Street eastbound. In the back seat of the LaSalle cab sitting from right to left was a heavy set Negro female. In the middle was a thinner built and lighter complected Negro female with a Negro male on the, - it would be the left side of the vehicle directly behind the Caucasian male driver.

- Q. The male was on the left side of the vehicle inside the vehicle?
- A. Correct. He was in the back seat behind the driver.
- Q. Mr. Gee, when you saw this taxi cab, what direction was it heading relative to the bank that had been robbed, sir?
- A. Away from the bank.
- Q. And was that also away from the vicinity of the 13th Street School?
- A. Yes, sir, it was.
- Q. All right. Will you tell us what you did at that time, sir, if anything?
- A. The vehicle passed my location. I advised police radio of what I had spotted. I asked police radio for another broadcast on the description of the occupants or of the parties involved in the robbery. I followed the taxicab. I called for another backup car. I called for assistance because I was going to stop the car.

Well, when you called for another description, was any 1 Q. 2 given to you? 3 Yes. There was a rebroadcast of the description. And did that description generally match the persons 4 Q. 5 you had seen in the back of the car? Yes, it did. 6 A. Could you tell us approximately how fast that cab was 7 Q. 8 traveling if you could make an estimate? Between fifty and fifty-five miles an hour. 9 A. All right. Mr. Gee, will you tell us what you did then? 10 A. The taxi cab was in front of me about three or four 11 cars. I got behind the vehicle. I was following the 12 vehicle. By the time I got behind, it was approximately, 13 oh, 66th to 70th Street on Pine Avenue eastbound. 14 I got behind the vehicle, asked again radio for a backup 15 unit. They didn't have any at the time is why I 16 continued following the vehicle. 17 All right. Would you tell us what you observed about 18 Q. the vehicle or its occupants, if anything, at that 19 time? 20 Approximately, well, it would be 72nd and Pine Avenue 21 still eastbound, between 72nd and 77th Street I 22 observed the occupants of the cab turn around and look 23 at me.

> H. T. NOEL & E. F. KNISLEY WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Would you describe for us what it appeared, - what it

24

25

ランス かからないないないない

1		between you and them at that time?
2	Α.	No. I was directly behind them.
3	Q.	About how far were you behind them?
4	Α.	Approximately one and a half to two car lengths.
5	Q.	And about how fast was the car going at that time?
6	Α.	Approximately fifty miles an hour.
7	Q.	All right. You say you put on your red lights. Will
8		you describe what happened after that, sir?
9	Α.	The vehicle pulled directly over to the side at the curb.
10		The cab driver, - as I was radioing, I had the cab
11		stopped. The cab driver came back to my patrol car and
12		asked me what was wrong.
13	Q.	About how far did the taxi park from your car, sir?
14	Α.	Oh, approximately ten feet.
15	Q.	And was this just at the side of the road?
16	Α.	Yes, sir.
17	Q.	All right. Would you describe what happened when the
18		taxi driver came back?
19	Α.	The taxi driver came back to my car. I told him to
20		have a seat in the passenger side of my vehicle. He
21		got into the vehicle. I asked him, I says, "Who do you
22		have in the vehicle and where did you pick them up?"
23	Q.	And would you tell us what he said, if anything, sir?
24	Α.	He said two Negro females, a Negro male, three hundred
25		block of 14th Street.

1	ର.	Did you actually open the car door yourself, the taxi
2		door?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	And what did he do, if anything?
5	Α.	Nothing. He got out and said he had no identification.
6	Q.	Okay. Would you describe this man that got out of the
7		car as best you recall?
8	Α.	He was a Negro male, medium build, approximately five
9		foot eight to five foot ten inches tall.
10	Q.	All right. Did you have any conversations with the
11		other people in the car?
12	· A.	I myself, no.
13	Q.	Were there any other officers there?
14	Α.	Yes. Officer Burek from the Niagara County Sheriff's
15		Department approached the vehicle on the right side and
16		Town of Niagara police officer Douglas Johnson and
17		City Officer Michael Arber took the two females out
18		from the right-hand side of the vehicle.
19	Q.	And where did these people go after they were taken out
20		of the car at that immediate time?
21	Α.	Officer Arber took the Negro male. Officers Johnson
22		from the Town of Niagara Police Department and I believe
23		Detective Hilts had approached at that time and took
24		the two Negro females.

Did they stay in the same area of the car?

1		
	A	Yes.
2	Q	How long a period of time was it that you were talkin,
3		with the cabdriver?
4	A	Twenty to thirty seconds.
5		Okay, and during this conversation, did anyone inside
6	Ū	
7		that cab, the three occupants of the cab, make any
8		attempt as far as you could determine to leave that
		cab?
9	A	lio.
.)	Q	Okay. Now, eventually, when you got out of your
11		patrol car, did any other police vehicles come on to
12		the scene?
13	,	Yes.
14	A.	
15	Q	Okay. Would you describe who else came onto the scene?
	A.	Officer Arber in Car 44, Niagara Falls Police Car 44;
16		Niagara County Sheriff's Deputy Burek and at that point,
17		I believe those were the two cars initially.
18	Ď.	Okay. You testified last week that you didn't have
19		your gun drawn at this time.
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	What about Officer Arber, did he have his gun drawn?
22		
	A.	No, he didn't.
23	Q	And what about Deputy Sheriff Burek, did he draw
24		his weapon?
25	A.	I am not certain if Officer Burek or Sheriff's Deputy

1		Burek and Johnson from the Town of Hiagara P lice
2		Department were on the right-hand side of the vehicle.
3		I was behind the cab. Actually, I was on the roadway
4		next to the cab when this took place.
5	Q	Was anybody in Car Number 4 besides Officer Arber?
6	A	Car 44?
7	Q	Yes.
8	A	Yes, Captain Symulski.
9	Q	Symulski?
10		Yes.
11	Q	
12		So we you left your patrol car, there were four other police officers on the scene?
13	A.	
	r	As I approached the cab, right, right. I got out of
14		my car and the cars were coming up.
15	Q	Now, as you approached the cab, did you look into the
16		cab?
17	A.	Yes.
18	0	And what did you observe when you first looked inside
19		that cab?
20	A.	A negro male and two negro females.
21	Q.	Okay. At that time, did you notice how the negro male
22		was dressed?
23	A.	At that time I did, but right now I can't recall.
24	Q.	Let me ask you, Officer, was he wearing a black or a
25		blue pea coat?
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		

1	A	I don't believe so.
2	Ç.	Okay. Did you notice the thinly dressed girl sitting
3		in the middle?
4	Λ	Yes.
5	Q	And did you notice what she was wearing?
6	A.	I did at the time, but I don't recall.
7	Q	I will ask you specifically if you can recall at this
8		time whether she was wearing red slacks?
9	A	I am not certain. I don't believe so.
10	Q	Well, Officer, one of the reasons for your stopping
11		this cab is you wanted to investigate a possible
12		bank robbery, is that correct?
13	A.	That's correct.
14	Q	Didn't you think that at that time it was important
15		to determine what they were dressed as in relationship
16		to the calls you had received over the phone? Wouldn't
17		it be somewhat important?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	2	Okay, but you can't remember now what they were wearing?
20	A.	That's right.
21	Q	Okay. What did you do as you approached the cab and
22		looked inside; what happened next?
23	A.	I don't recall whether I opened the door or the negro
24		male opened the door of the cab. I believe I did; asked
25		the negro male to step out and asked him for identifica-

1			tion.
2	Q		And what was his response to you at that that?
3	A.		Didn't have any.
4	Q		Did he make any attempt to run from the scene?
5	A		No.
6	Q		Okay. Did he make any attempt to strike you in any way?
7	A.		No.
8	Q		Okay. What did you do after he told you he had no
9			identification?
10	A		Placed him in custody.
11	Q		You placed him in custody, and at that time I take it
12			he was not free to leave that area, is that correct?
13	A.		That's correct.
14	Q.	ŝ	Did you frisk him in any way?
15	A.		Pat down.
16	Q.		And did you find anything of any significance on his
17			person?
18	A		Мо.
19	Q.		Did you find anything at all?
20	A.		No, I don't believe so.
21	Q		Did you find any identification?
22	A.		No.
23	Q.		Okay. Now, where were the two negro females at this
24			time when you were patting down or frisking the negro
25			male?

- 11		
1	A	I believe Officer Burek and Officer Johnson had
2		taken them out of the car or were in the process of
3		taking them out of the car.
4	ŭ	Now, when you testified that you frisked him, where
5		were you standing at that time?
6	A.	Right next to the back of the cab, the left rear quarter-
7		panel.
8	Q	Toward the rear taillight?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Ω	So he was physically completely out of the cab?
11	A	Yes.
12	2	And where were the two negro females at the time you
13		were frisking the negro male?
14	A.	I can't be certain, they were either still in the
15		cab or being taken out of the cab.
16	Q	Okay. Did there come a time, Officer, when you conducted
17		a search of that cab?
18	λ	Yes, we did.
19	Q	You say "We did". Let's take you before we do anything
20		else. Where were you standing when you first conducted
21		the search of the cab?
22	A	I was standing alongside of the vehicle, the rear driver's
23		side.
24	Q	And where was the negro male at that time?
25	A	Officer Arber had him, to the best of my knowledge, on
		the curb. H. T. NOEL & E. F. KNISLEY

H. T. NOEL & E. F. KNISLEY

PRICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

1	Q	Can you recall if he was cuffed at that time?
2	A	Yes, he was handcuffed.
3	Q	He was handcuffed?
4	A	I believe he was, yes.
5	Q	Okay, and he was somewhere away from that vehicle?
6	A.	Yes.
7	ð	Approximately how many feet was he from the rear door
8		or from the passenger side of the vehicle?
9	A.	From where I was, the left rear door?
10	Q	Right.
11	A.	The width of the car.
12	Q	Okay, and what about the two negro females at that
13		time? As you approached the car to search it, where
14		were they standing?
15	A.	I believe Officer Johnson had them on the sidewalk.
16	Ĉ.	Okay. Were they in custody also, Officer?
17	Λ.	Yes.
18	Q.	Okay, and again they were not free to leave either,
19		were they?
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	Can you recall if they were handcuffed?
22	A.	I don't recall.
23	Q	By the way, on that particular day, what method did
24		you use to handcuff or did Officer Arber use to handcuff
25		the negro male; was it in front of him or was it behind

- 11		
1	Q	Can you recall if he was cuffed at that time?
2	A.	Yes, he was handcuffed.
3	Q.	He was handcuffed?
4	A.	I believe he was, yes.
5	Q	Okay, and he was somewhere away from that vehicle?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	Approximately how many feet was he from the rear door
8		or from the passenger side of the vehicle?
9	A.	From where I was, the left rear door?
10	Ď.	Right.
11	A.	The width of the car.
12	Q.	Okay, and what about the two negro females at that
13		time? As you approached the car to search it, where
14		were they standing?
15	A.	I believe Officer Johnson had them on the sidewalk.
16	Q.	Okay. Were they in custody also, Officer?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Okay, and again they were not free to leave either,
19		were they?
20	A.	No.
21	Q	Can you recall if they were handcuffed?
22	A.	I don't recall.
23	Q.	By the way, on that particular day, what method did
24		you use to handcuff or did Officer Arber use to handcuff
25		the negro male; was it in front of him or was it behind
	11	

	il	
1		him?
2	A.	No, it would be from behind. Departmental rules
3		state all prisoners will be handcuffed from behind.
4	Q.	From behind, so his hands were placed behind his back?
5	A.	That's correct.
6	Q	I can't remember, did you say you don't recall if the
7		negro females were handcuffed at that time?
8	A.	I don't recall, I really don't.
9	Q.	At any rate, when you started to search that vehicle,
10		approximately how far were they from the vehicle?
11	A.	Five, six feet.
12	Q	Okay, and were they being watched by anyone in particu-
13		lar?
14	A.	I believe Officer Johnson from the Town of Niagara
15		Police and I also believe at this point Detective
16		Hilts arrived.
17	Q.	Okay. Can you recall if the negro females were frisked
18		on the scene?
19	A.	No, they weren't.
20	Q	In any event, they were away from the cab, is that
21		correct?
22	A.	That's right.
23	Q	Did anyone else besides yourself commence a search of
24		that taxi cab?
25	A.	Yes.
20		

II		
1	Q.	And who was that?
2	A.	Niagara County Sheriff Deputy Burek.
3	Q	All right, and which side did he enter from?
4	Α.	He entered from the opposite side, the right side.
5	Q.	How many doors did this cab have, Officer?
6	Α.	Four.
7	Q	Okay. The doors were unlocked?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And you just entered, - did he enter at the same time
10		you dia?
11	Α.	Approximately, yes.
12	Q.	Okay.
13	A.	Maybe a few seconds after I did.
14	Q.	At that point, Officer, that you entered the cab, were
15		you concerned for your physical well being in view of
16		the fact that three negros had been placed into custody?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	You were concerned for your own physical safety?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q	And in view of the fact that the negro male had his
21		hands behind his back
22	MR.	WAGNER: Your Honor, I will object. The
23		witness has answered the question.
24	THE	COURT: Well, he has.
	MR.	WAGNER: If Mr. Greenman doesn't like it, I

think he has to go with it anyway. 1 There's no question about it, that THE COURT: 2 the male has his hands cuffed behind his 3 back. Mr. Greenman, next question. 4 I mean, that is sort of an argumentative 5 question. 6 BY MR. GREENMAN: 8 I realize that. I will withdraw the question. I am 9 sorry, your Honor. Now, what did you notice, Officer, 10 when you got into the back of the taxicab? 11 I noticed a plastic bag on the seat. 12 The plastic bag on the seat? 13 Yes. 14 Did you notice what was inside that plastic bag? Q. 15 After I looked in it, yes, articles of clothing and A. 16 I believe a wig. 17 Articles of clothing and a wig? Q 18 Yes. A. 19 Can you recall what type of clothing was in that bag? Q. 20 No, I can't recall. A. 21 Well, let me ask you this, Officer, and I don't mean Q. 22 to be testing you, but can you recall if a pea coat 23 was found in that bag? 24

No, there was no pea coat in that bag.

A.

ŵ

1	Q	Okay, and can you recall if red slacks were found in
2		that bag, to the best of your recollection?
3	A.	To the best of my recollection, no.
4	Ď.	Okay, so we have everybody in custody and you haven't
5		found any clothing that met that description that you
6		had previously received, is that correct?
7	A.	That's correct.
8	Q	Now, at that time, did you go back and question the
9		negro male or the two negro females any further?
10	A.	No.
11	Q.	Okay. What happened after you looked inside the bag;
12		what did you and Officer Burek do next?
13	A.	I noticed an attache case on the floor.
14	Q.	Okay, and when you say "on the floor", would you
15		particularly describe where you found it?
16	A.	It was protruding from underneath the front seat.
17		It would be where the extreme right front passenger
		would be sitting.
18	Q.	Okay. Until that time, Officer, you had not received
19		any calls over the radio with regard to an attache
20		case, had you?
21	A.	That's correct.
22	Ď.	Okay. That's correct that you had not received a
23		call?
24	A.	Yes.
0.		

	11	
1		the bag. He was right there with his head in the door
2		inside the cab.
3	Q	And you both picked that attache case up at the same
4		time?
5	A.	I believe Officer Burek picked it up and handed me one
6		corner. I was over the middle seat. I was further
7		into the cab than he was.
8	Q	Okay. Did you determine whether that attache case was
9	y .	locked or whether it was open?
10	A.	When we attempted to open it, it was not locked?
11	Q.	Okay. How did you open it, Officer?
12	A.	I undid one corner and Officer Burek undid the other
13		corner.
14	Q	And you opened it and you testified you found some money
15		inside?
16	A.	That's correct.
17	Q.	Just to set the record straight, you couldn't see any
18		money protruding from the outside of that; you couldn't
19		see any money until you opened up that attache case?
20	A.	Right.
21	Q.	Is that correct?
22	A.	That's correct.
23	Q.	No money was sticking out of the sides of the attache
24		case?
25	A.	That's correct.

*

1	Q.	Did you find anything else in that car, Officer?
2	A.	I believe there was two ski masks, but I am not
3		positive. I believe.
4	Q.	Anything else found inside the car besides what you
5		have testified to already?
6	A.	Not to my knowledge.
7	Õ.	Did you, Officer, make an inventory as to what was found
8		inside that cab?
9	A.	No, I did not.
10	Q.	You didn't make an inventory?
11	A.	No.
12	Q.	Did anyone, to the best of your knowledge?
13	A.	To the best of my knowledge, quite possibly Detective
14		Hilts could have.
15	Q.	Hilts?
16	A.	Hilts.
17	Ď.	And I take it once you found the money inside the
18		attache case, you took these individuals back downtown?
19	A.	That's correct.
20	MR. G	REENMAN: I have no further questions, your
		Honor, at this time.
21	THE C	OURT: Any other questions, Mr. Zakia,
22		Mr. McGuinness?
23		

1		particularly the gun, she had said that she had heard
2		mentioned in the apartment something about a boat which
3		she believed was outside the house somewhere.
4	Q	Something that she had heard inside the apartment
5		previously.
6	A.	She had heard this inside Dot's apartment and she
7		suggested this as a possible location for the gun.
8	Q	Okay. Now, eventually, you testified you wound up
9		in the alleyway between 13th and 14th Street, is that
10		correct? Is that the street?
11	A.	I'm not sure if it was 13th and 14th or 14th and 15th.
12	Q	Okay. I believe you testified between 14th and 15th
13		Street, is that correct?
14	A	I think that's what I testified to.
15	Q.	Okay, and what did she say as you got to that area?
16	A.	We drove up the alleyway and she said, "Stop here",
17		something to the effect that this was the area.
18	Q.	Okay, and did there come a time when she pointed out
		what she felt to be the house?
19	A.	Yes. She walked up to this one particular sidewalk
20		and said, "Up here".
21	Q.	And did you follow her or walk next to her or how were
22		you traveling with her?
23	A.	Next to her at some times and followed her at other
24		times. When she pointed to the garbage can, some of

clothes and gave them to Eddie and in turn he placed them in a garbage bag and she left the room and when she came back, the garbage bag was gone. She didn't know where the bag was. As I went into the cellar looking for Dorothy Goldsmith, I seen a garbage bag with what looked like a pair of red slacks through it.

- Q. Mr. Zaccarella, I wonder if you could describe what the doorway was like that you went into into the basement and also if you could describe what the basement looked like at that time.
- A. There is a door to the basement at the top of the stairway. There is approximately, I would be guessing, seven to ten steps that lead straight down into the basement and there is what supposedly is a fire door that was propped open up against the wall, the back wall of the hallway. This door was propped open with a wedge under it and you go straight down the steps and as you get to the bottom of the step on the right side approximately eighteen inches to twenty-four inch recess is a small partition area where the garbage bag was. Straight ahead and to your right was where the furnace was. All the way straight in is a laundry tub.

Mr. Zaccarella, I show you Government's Exhibit 10 for identification and ask you if you could describe what that is, sir, if you know.

1	Q.	Okay, and when was it that you were first able to get
2		a look at the entire basement?
3	Α.	I would say after I was in the basement at least a
4		minute or so.
.5	Q.	Well, what about the ceiling as you are walking down,
6		is there a ceiling, an overhang obstructing your view
7		of the basement as you are going down the stairs, the
8		seven stairs?
9	Α.	There is a wall that would be a partition from the first
10		floor, yes, sir.
11	ର.	Now, when you first noticed what you have described as
12		these red slacks, what were their conditions; were they
13		rolled up or were they folded or what?
14	Α.	I couldn't really tell. All I could see was the red
15		through the plastic bag.
16	Q.	So you didn't see red slacks; you saw something to be
17		red?
18	Α.	Yes, sir.
19	ର୍.	And it wasn't neessarily slacks to the best of your
20		knowledge at that time, was it?
21	Α.	That's correct.
22		
23	MR.	GREENMAN: That's all I have at this time,
24		your Honor.
25	THE	COURT: Mr. Zakia.

H. T. NOEL & E. F. KNISLEY FICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

1	Q.	Was there anything else in the bag that you recall?
2	Α.	There was garbage in the bag, yes, sir.
3	Q.	What do you mean by garbage?
4	Α.	Food cans, wet paper, typical garbage.
5	Q.	After you called for identification, sir, what if any-
6		thing happened next?
7	Α.	I yelled up to Mr. Ahart to have Detective Clute bring
8		Sandra Soles down into the basement and I had her stand
9		at the bottom of the steps and asked her if she seen
10		anything she could recognize and she said, "Yes, them
11		are my pants, that's the coat I was wearing and that's
12		the gun that Eddie had."
13	Q.	What did you do next, sir, if anything?
14	Α.	I had Detective Truesdale take pictures of the clothing
15		and the gun and directed him to keep custody of the
16		same and bring it in to 520 and hold it as evidence
17		and have our firing range officer fire the weapon.
18	Q.	Mr. Zaccarella, when you went into the basement, did
19		you have a warrant with you at the time?
20	Α.	No, sir.
21	Q.	Do you know if you, sir, or anyone that you know of
22		obtain permission from any of the tenants at the building
23		to enter the basement?
24	Α.	To my knowledge, no, sir.
25	Q.	When you went there, was the cutside door locked?

- 1		
1		that is from a safety and a health point of view that
2		you don't want the basement cluttered up for a long
3	9	period of time, is that correct, sir?
4	A.	Yes, but I had also, before that, had complaints of
5		mice.
6	Q.	Right.
7	A.	And one lady was very afraid of mice.
8	Q	Right, and I take it you have a pretty good relationship
9		with most of your tenants then?
10	A.	Very good, yes.
11	Q.	Okay, and based upon the time that you rent the apart-
12		ment, a particular apartment to them, it is more or
13		less you can use the basement, just don't take advantage
14		of me?
15	A.	Keep it cleam.
16	Q	Okay. Now, with regard to the hallways of your
17		apartment, those are common hallways going up the stairs
18		from the first and second floors, is that correct, sir?
19	A.	Yes, it is.
20	٥	Okay, now, other than the hallway, well, let me just
21		rephrase the question, aside from the hallway, that
22		basement isn't for the common use of anybody besides
23		the tenant, the tenants and yourself, is it?
24	A.	That's correct.
25	MR. GR	EENMAN: That's all. I have nothing

1		to go in the basement to do so?
2	A.	Yes, sir.
3	Q	Is the basement area in which the laundry facility
4		is located, does that also contain a furnace, did
5		you say?
6	A.	There is two furnaces there. One is in that section,
7		yes.
8	Q.	Is access to that furnace gained by going through
9		that particular area?
10	A.	Yes, sir.
11	Q.	Mr. Enman, who maintains the common hallway area that
12		leads to the four apartments?
13	A.	My one tenant on the first floor takes care of that.
14	Q	Does this tenant do it gratuitously or do you pay her
15		for it?
16	A.	No. I pay her for the hall lights and cleaning that
17		hall area there every third month.
18	Q	And do you have a specific arrangement like that to
19		maintain the basement area?
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	Mr. Enman, where do you store or where do the tenants
22		keep their garbage in that particular building?
23	A.	Well, their daily garbage is kept in their apartments
24		and then they put it in back by the alley. I have
25		cans out there in the alley.
	H	

him and photographed him, after which time I again, using one of these forms, FD-395 Interrogation Advice of Rights, advised, - orally advised Mr. Carlton of his constitutional rights, at which time I also, at the time I was advising him of these rights, gave him a copy of the same form for him to read along with me, which he read and he stated that he completely understood his rights and I asked him if he was willing to talk to me about his involvement in the alleged bank robbery that occurred on the same day and he said to me, "I ain't got nothing to talk about". Mr. Davison, I also again show you what has been marked as Government Exhibit 3 for identification and would you describe that again for us, sir?

Yes. This form, as it says, is an FD-395 Interrogation

Yes. This form, as it says, is an FD-395 Interrogation Advice of Rights form which I filled out at the time that I advised Mr.Carlton of his rights there in the interview room. Inasmuch as he refused to make a statement or refused to sign the waiver or rights portion of this form I immediately noted his exact words to me and I put, "Edward Carlton quote, I ain't got nothing to talk about", end quote, and "refused to sign this waiver or answer questions". I put my initials after this statement and then I, under the witness portion of this, signed my official Bureau name,

as well as Mr. Kash did also to witness what had just 1 transpired, and we put the times that this had occurred 2 on the form 3 And Mr. Kash is a Special Agent with the FBI, sir? 4 Yes, sir, he is. 5 Was he with you throughout this encounter with the 6 defendant at the office? 7 Yes. A. 8 Mr. Davison, do you know about what time that was that Q 9 you had this conversation with Mr. Carlton? 10 Yes. The times that I showed him, these rights form A. 11 is set forth 1:25 p.m. we started the process of 12 advising him of his rights, going through the form 13 with him and it terminated at 1:31 p.m. with his 14 quoted statement that he did not want to talk about it. 15 Mr. Davison, I also show you what has been marked as Q. 16 Government Exhibit 4 for identification and I will 17 ask you if you can identify that, sir? 18 Yes. This is an arrest log which I made up on the 19 same date showing what had transpired as far as my 20 contact with Mr. Carlton, setting forth times, 21 approximate times that these things had occurred. 22 Mr. Davison, after that, did you have any further 23 conversations with Mr. Carlton? 24 Nothing in regards to the bank robbery, no.

1	A.	No.
2	Q	Well, what did you say to him when you got him down-
3		town and he was photographed?
4	A	Well, we told him as part of our arrest procedure
5		that we were going to have to photograph him and also
6		to fingerprint him.
7	Q.	Okay, and he complied?
8	A.	Reluctantly as far as the fingerprinting process goes.
9	Q	Okay. Did there come a time, Agent, that you started
10		to question him with regard to the events of the
11		alleged robbery?
12	A.	That's correct.
13	Q	Approximately what time did that commence?
14	A.	According to the log that I maintained at 1:25 to
15		1:31, approximately.
16	Q.	Okay. Now, what was his response at that time?
17	A.	Well, I advised him again of his constitutional
18		rights as set forth on that Interrogation Advice of
19		Rights form; went through it again, explained it to
20		him, asked him again, in view of his understanding
21		of his rights, was he now willing to talk about this
22		and he made the statement, I believe it was, "I ain't
23		got nothing to talk about".
24	Q	Did you understand that to mean he didn't want to
25		talk to you?

1		he didn't want to talk with anyone, is that correct?
2	A.	That's correct. He said, "I ain't got nothing to
3		talk about" was his words.
4	Q	So you took that to mean that he didn't want to say
5		anything?
6	A.	That he didn't want to be interviewed.
7	Q	That's right, and with regard to his rights, did you
8		make any attempt to ascertain whether or not he
9		could afford an attorney at that time?
10	A.	That's not my job.
11	Q	Well, your job is to explain to him that an attorney
12		would be appointed for him, isn't that correct?
13	A.	My job is to advise him that if he desires an attorney
14		to be appointed for him, he can request it.
15		I believe it is the Magistrate's position to make that
16		determination.
17	Q	Okay. Well, again referring back to Government Exhibit
18		3, the Waiver of Rights, specifically, he refused to
19		waive any of his rights, is that correct, by not signing
20		this paper?
21	A.	That's correct.
22	Q	Wouldn't you take that to mean he refused to waive
23		the right of having an attorney present?
24	MR.	WAGNER: I object to what Mr. Davison took
		it to mean

it to mean.

THE COURT: 1 He can answer the question one 2 way or the other. 3 BY MR. GREENMAN: I will repeat it. He refused to waive his rights with 5 regard to any of the warnings he read to him that 6 afternoon, is that right? 7 That's right. 8 Did you or did you not take that to mean that he didn't 9 wish to waive any of his rights at that time? 10 Yes. 11 Okay. Well, why is it that an attorney, - that he 12 wasn't taken directly to court and an attorney appointed 13 for him as far as you were concerned? 14 Because we had not finalized the arrangements to take 15 him to court at that time. We were waiting for setting 16 up a time with the United States Magistrate to get the 17 complaint in affidavit type so that it could be presented 18 to the Court. 19 Okay. Mr. Davison, what I am getting at is at 1:31 20 he teld you he didn't wish to waive his rights, is 21 that correct? 22 A. That's correct. 23 To your knowledge, did anyone talk with him after 1:31? 24

77

To the best of your knowledge, after 1:31 p.m. on

minutes.

2 3

4

Q.

5

A.

Q.

A.

6

8

7

9

10

11 19

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

25

And would you tell us, Mr. DiLaura, what if anything happened while you were in the room with Mr. Carlton?

I was sitting with Carlton and talking in general about things, just passing the time of day, more or less.

What kind of things, if you recall?

I asked him how he was feeling and he said he had an upset stomach and I asked him if he was okay and he said he was. I asked him if he was from the area, things of this nature, talked about the weather, Buffalo being, the weather in Buffalo being pretty bad at times. and I believe I asked him if he was employed anyplace or something to that effect, and the conversation turned to money and he said something to me to the effect that he didn't have much money or was hurting for money. something to that effect, and I asked him, "Well, whose money was in the back of that car, the taxi cab", and he said, "That was my money", and I said, "Well, how do you explain if that was your money that some of it, as I understand, some of it is prerecorded bills from the bank robbery earlier that day". Oh, I said, I asked him where he got the money. I asked him where he got the money, excuse me, and he said, "Gambling", and I said, "Gambling", I said, "How do you explain some of this money is prerecorded bait money from the bank robbery",

1		and he said something to the effect, "Well, bank robbing
2		is a gamble".
3	Q.	Did you have any other conversation with him following
4		that statement?
5	Α.	I asked him to elaborate on that and he said that he
6		didn't care to and that was just about it.
7	Q.	Did you have any other involvement with Mr. Carlton that
8		day, sir?
9	Α.	I don't think so.
10		
11	MR. W	AGNER: Okay. I have no further questions.
12		
13	CROSS	EXAMINATION BY MR. GREENMAN:
14	ର.	Agent DiLaura, did you review any notes before you
15		testified here today?
16	Α.	Yes, I did.
17	ର.	Agent Dilaura, I am going to show you Government Exhibit
18		12 for identification and ask you if that is the notes
19		you reviewed before your testimony here this morning,
20		or this afternoon?
21	Α.	Yes, sir, that is a copy.of it.
22	Q.	Is that all you reviewed?
23	Α.	Regarding Mr. Carlton, yes.
24	Q.	That which you testified to just now?
25	Α.	Yes, yes, sir.

you made no inquiry as to whether he wanted an attorney 1 present with him, did you? 2 He had already been asked that and I made no second 3 A. 4 inquiry. Okay, but specifically referring to Government Exhibit Q. 5 12, you reported this conversation, didn't you? 6 Yes. sir. 7 A. And when you asked him the question after he had said he didn't have much money and you asked, "How do you 9 explain it", or, "Where did you get the money", those 10 questions were intended to receive an answer, isn't 11 that correct? 12 Yes, I would say. A. 13 Okay, and hadn't you determined at that time that if 0. 14 he told you where he got the money, you would record 15 that and it could be used against him later on? 16 Would you say that again? A. 17 Okay. The statements, - the statement about the money Q. 18 specifically was intended to receive an answer, is that 19 correct? 20 Yes, sir. A. 21 And specifically you knew at that time that if he made an incriminating statement about wher he got the money 23 that that could be used against him at a later time? 24 Yes. He and I were both aware of that, yes, sir.

25

A.

1 PROCEEDINGS: After recess, 11:40 a.m. 2 APPEARANCES: As before noted. 3 4 (Defendant present.) 5 (Jury not present.) 6 MR. GREENMAN: Your Honor, again similar to the 7 first situation, my client indicated to 8 me this morning that one or two of the 9 jurors had seen him and I realize that 10 there was no intent on the marshal's 11 part to do this, if it so in fact 12 happened, but I note that this situation 13 14 arose at the last trial and I know what the Court's ruling was and again I put 15 it on the record, your Honor, renewing 16 the similar motion I had made before in 17 view of the fact of the possibility of 18 prejudice which could result to my client 19 if, in fact, he was observed by any one 20 of the jurors in a custodial situation. 21 THE COURT: If you desire, of course, we could 22 charge the jury in regard to this because 23 the presumption of innocence attaches 24 whether he is in custody or not. On the 25

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: 12

other hand, we have talked to the marshals about this problem which is a continuing one, because of the location of the building, the manner of egress and ingress to the building. Mr. Hassett who had the duty of bringing Mr. Carlton over today said, as I understand it, Mr. Hassett, you came in the building a few minutes after 9:00 this morning.

DEPUTY U.S. MARSHAL HASSETT: Yes, sir.

And that you tried to make sure there were no jurors in the lobby when you came in. You looked at the lobby and at that time you did not see any jurors and you came up to the holding area of the marshal's service which is on the seventh floor of the building. Mr. Carlton stayed here during the lunch break and then at the end of the afternoon after we break, usually you wait arout half an hour before you then retransport him back to the Erie County Holding Center so that the jurors would have left the building. We will again

H. T. Noel & F. F. Knisley OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

24

25

MR. GREENMAN:

MR CREENMAN

ask the marshal in keeping with all of the other duties that you have to endeavor to bring Mr. Carlton or any other prisoner who is on trial over as early as possible so that we will avoid any conflict with any jurors coming in or out of the building.

Mr. Greenman, anything else you want to say about it?

Not with regard to that, your Honor, Your Honor, with regard, - I take it you denied the motion. With regard to the matter, - I spoke to Mr. Wagner about it, with regard to the testimony of Officer Truesdale, I believe of the Niagara Falls Police Department, in going over the transcripts, I see a couple of notations where he refers to fingerprint testing, where he referred to negative results and I mention it on the record to the Court the first time and I would like to emphasize it, that for over a year in my bill of particulars, information supplied by the U. S. Attorney's office, Mr. Burns, indicates that no

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley

OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

1	PROCEEDINGS:	March 1, 1976, 11:35 a.m.
2	APPEARANCES:	As before noted.
3		
4		(Defendant present.)
5		(Jury not present.)
6		
7	MR. GREENMAN:	Your Honor, those deal with the
8		charge.
9	THE COURT:	Did you give a copy
10	MR. WAGNER:	I just got a copy now, your Honor.
11	THE COURT:	lou may argue to the jury but I
12		refuse to charge number 1.
13	MR. GREENMAN:	Your Honor, just so I can get this
14		matter on the record and clarified, the
15		last time we discussed this, - I am
16		renewing this, your Honor, for the
17		record, that request. It is my under-
18		standing and the Court did rule last
19		time if I were to comment to the jury
20		upon the Government's failure to call
21		a witness, that the Government would
22		permit, - that the Court would permit
23		the Government to comment on my failure
24		also. In other words, that the witness
25		was equally available, just so we get

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE COURT:

MR. GREENMAN:

was the reason why obviously I did not comment last time on my summation.

on how they express it. This lady is available to everyone. She is not under the exclusive control of either side, but the Government has the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt and the defense does not have to produce any witnesses at all so that is the way it is left, so I suppose before we can make any ruling upon what the Government can say in response, we have to listen to what you say.

I take my chances from there, I suppose, and that is the trouble. Okay. Judge, the only reason why, - the basic reason why, this time I am familiar with a number of federal cases dealing with this subject. The point being, your Honor, is that I don't believe that the cases as they discuss this matter go to the fullest extent as far as the facts are applicable in this case with regard

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTER. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

15 THE COURT:

to the type of witness Dorothy Goldsmith might be and we have before the Court, your Honor, the statement she made to you at the time she made her plea, the statement being she felt she was had, she felt she was taken and this guy, you know, similar to this, my client was no good, things like that, and the point is, your Honor, I feel this in some way makes her, maybe not in the purest sense, a hostile witness, but certainly a witness where it would be very difficult, if not impossible, for me to call on behalf of my client.

what she said at the time of the plea taking and it is questionable whether or not she could add much to what has already put into the evidence by the other witnesses. She was not at the bank. She did not travel by car to the bank. She was not in the taxicab or with the defendants when they were in the cap after this occurrence and she claimed that although she was knowledge-

into evidence at this time.

I will overrule the objection and mark the money envelops in evidence.

(Government Exhibits 60, 60A, 61, received in evidence.)

J believe that is it, your Honor, for Exhibits.

All right. Mr. Greenman, do you have a motion?

Yes, your Honor. Your Honor, I wonder if we are going to sum up and charge on Monday, I wonder, your Honor, if I could just have the weekend. The motion will be very brief and it will be a general motion to dismiss.

Do you think you will have some evidence?

Well, your Honor, again I am going to renew the motion which I had made previously which the Court had denied with regard to my client's former record. Again, for the record, your Honor, I had brought a motion, your Honor denied, your

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THE COURT:

MR. WAGNER:

THE COURT:

MR. GREENMAN:

THE COURT:

MR. GREENMAN:

22

23

24

25

- 1		
1		Honor denied the motion the last time
2		with regard to a previous conviction for
3		bank robbery which occurred a few years
4		ago on the basis of it being just so
5		overwhelmingly prejudicial to the jury
6		that it might preclude him from being able
7		to testify. I would renew that motion
8		at this time for the record, your Honor,
9		so that we can preserve the question in
10		this case.
11	THE COURT:	We have the record of the complete
12		motion the last time and the argument.
13		Do you have any additional authority?
14	MR. GREENMAN:	No, your Honor. What I submitted
15		to the Court the last time, I am relying
16		on this time.
17	THE COURT:	This is a robbery which occurred in
18		when, 1970, 1971?
19	MR. GREENMAN:	Yes, I believe it was.
20	MR. WAGNER:	Yes, 1970.
21	THE COURT:	1970. The conviction was shortly
22		after that?
23	MR. GREENMAN:	That's correct, your Honor.
24	THE COURT:	Was that by a plea or was that by
25		a trial?
	in the second se	

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

5	il		
1	MR.	WAGNER:	That was a plea of guilty, your
2			Honor, to a violation of 2113(b), Title
3			18.
4	THE	COURT:	Mr. Greenman.
5	MR.	GREENMAN:	Your Honor, there were two motions,
6			just so we have the record clear on this.
7			There were two separate incidents. One
8			was a period of time of greater than ten
9			years which the Court on the previous
10			case informed the Government it would
11			keep from questioning on cross examination
12	THE	COURT:	The ruling would be the same on that
13			one.
14	MR.	WAGNER:	That's right. We didn't offer it,
15			your Honor.
16	MR.	GREENMAN:	That's right.
17	MR.	WAGNER:	Won't again.
18	MR.	GREENMAN:	That was on the previous one and
19			this one, on the second one, just to
20			clarify the record, I am just renewing
21			my motion on the second one.
22	THE	COURT:	As I understand it, no authority
23			other than what was urged to the Court
24			the last time.
25	MR.	GREENMAN:	That's correct, and we submitted a
	-		

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley
OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

57 1 THE COURT: MR. WAGNER: THE COURT: 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 MR. GREENMAN: 18 THE COURT: 19 20 MR. GREENMAN: 21 22 23

24

25

number of cases to the Court the first time.

Do you have anything further to say on that, Mr. Wagner?

Your Honor, I rely on what I said last time also.

My ruling will be the same. If Mr. Carlton takes the witness stand it appears to me that under the circumstances to test credibility it is appropriate for the United States to ask him about the conviction, prior conviction for bank robbery which occurred sometime in 1971. If either side has any, or if you, Mr. Greenman, have any further authority to call to my attention, why this question should not be asked, let me know on Monday.

Fine. I will do that, your Honor.

Other han Mr. Carlton, do you think

you will have any other testimony?

No, your Honor. I discussed this with Mr. Wagner previously and there was one stipulation entered into which he would be willing to enter into, as I understand, on Monday when we resume.

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley OFFICIAL REPORTERS. U. S. DISTRICT COURT RN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

		500
58	MR. WAGNER:	That's right, your Honor, and I
2		believe that refers to - m
3	MR. GREENMAN:	The \$13.10 found in his pocket.
4	THE COURT:	All right.
5	MR. GREENMAN:	Other than that, your Honor, I
6		would just want to make a very brief .
7		motion before the Court and I would, -
8		I would after the stipulation, rest.
9	THE COURT:	Very well. We will then be ready
10		for summations. My charge will be similar
11		to the charge the last time. Mr. Wagner
12		has sent me a supplemental request to
		charge, I believe, on circumstantial
13		evidence.
15	MR. WAGNER:	That's correct, and I believe, your
16		Honor, it is one which you may be
17		anticipating to give anyway so I would
18		ask that it be given.
	THE COURT:	It does not seem to be unusual but
19		if you have any requests to charge, Mr.
21		Greenman, in a special manner, let me
2 22		know on Monday morning.

MR. GREENMAN:

23

24

25

I do have some, your Honor. I don't know if I have them with me. They might be in the office but I did have some

H. T. Noel & E. F. Knisley OFFICIAL REPORTERS, U. S. DISTRICT COURT WEST RN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK